

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR	_ A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
SIXBEY FRIEDMA	18/28/95 AN LEEDOM	MM21/1209 AND FERGUSON	٦	JACKSON	
2010 CORPORATE SUITE 600 MCLEAN VA 2210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· .V			•	DATE MAIL ED.	12/09/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.				
	Application No. 520079	Applicant(s) You A EAk c			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit 28/5			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—			
P riod for Reply	_	· .			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	reply within the statutory minimit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication.			
Status / /					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	98				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	ot for formal matters, pros 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed in			
Disposition of Claims					
□ Claim(s) 73-86	/	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)		. •			
□ Claim(s)	•				
Claim(s) 73-86					
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)		· ·			
	/				
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.				
	is approved	☐ disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective.	ected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a claim foreign priority to a claim for foreign priority to a claim for foreign priority to a claim foreign priority to a claim for foreign priority to a claim foreign prio	• , ,	• • • •			
☐ received.					
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl □ received in this national stage application from the In 					
*Certified copies not received:		•			
Attachment(s)		•			
Minformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s) 🗆 li	nterview Summary, PTO-413			
per l'individual Diociocato Ciatometrico, i 10 1445, i aper		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15			

THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O

Application/Control Number: 08/520079 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 73-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang '733

in view of Yamazaki '636.

Zhang teaches a thin film transistor including a crystallized channel region wherein there are no grain boundaries. From Yamazaki it would have been obvious to have practiced carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen impurities at a concentration below 5x10E18/cm to improve the carrier

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 5, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not

lifetime in a device as Zhang. See column 7 lines 58-65. Applicant's claims are obvius structure.

persuasive. Applicant argues that Zhang does not teach the required levels of carbon, notrogen,

and oxygen and therefore cannot anticipate the claims. In response to applicant's arguments

against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references

individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642

F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375

(Fed. Cir. 1986). The references taken together suggest applicant's claimed invention. Applicant's

arguments regarding the examples shown in Zhang are unconvincing of patentability because they

are directed to the method of manufacture and do not show or prove any grain boundaries in

Zhang. The final structure of Zhang has no grain boundaries in the channel and applicant has not

Application/Control Number: 08/520079 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

shown otherwise. Furthermore, as stated above, Yamazaki suggests low concentrations of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen to improve carrier lifetime. Applicant's arguments regarding no metal catalyst in Yamazaki are similarly unconvincing of patentability. Zhang clearly teaches and suggests metal catalyst to promte crystalline growth.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4937. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jerome Jackson, **Jr.** Primary Examin**er**